

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,451	12/12/2003	Randall Fuerst	2007	
7590 05/17/2006 CONN. ANALYTICAL CORP. Att: Joseph J. Bango, Jr. 696 AMITY ROAD			EXAMINER	
			VARGOT, MATHIEU D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BETHANY, C	Т 06524		1732	

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
			FUERST ET AL.	ω			
Office Action Summary		10/735,451					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner Nathing B. Vonnat	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732	ross			
Period fo			orrespondence add	7000			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) <u>□</u> 2a) <u>□</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This	 s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Applicati	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
·	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	D-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	tage ,			
Attachmen		∧ □ I=1== 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(DTO 440)	•			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) 🔲 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-1	152)			

Art Unit: 1732

1.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simpson et al 2002/0090725 (see paragraphs 10-12), either alone, or further in view of Burgess et al (see claim 36 therein).

The applied reference discloses the basic claimed process of creating a biomedical product by electrospinning a (collagen) polymer in a solvent to form a biomedical structure, Simpson et al essentially lacking a disclosure that the biomedical structure would be a contact lens. However, given the teachings of what the structure would be—ie, see paragraph 12—it is respectfully submitted that the making of a contact lens would have been an obvious feature in the process of Simpson et al in that one of ordinary skill in the art would almost readily envision that such a product would have been made from the process of Simpson et al. Hence, the instant claims are considered to be obvious over the applied reference. Reference that equate, or consider equivalent products, biomedical implants and devices disclosed in Simpson et al with contact lenses are notoriously well known in this art and applicant should doubtless be aware of them. At any rate, Burgess et al has been additionally applied to show this. The instant Holey fiber is well known and would have been an obvious substitution for the nozzles of Simpson et al. Simpson et al provides the instant Taylor cone during the spinning, such being nothing but conventional in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,451 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on 571 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot May 15, 2006 Mollings

Mathieu D. Vargot

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1732

5/15/06